

AD-A103 775


NEW JERSEY DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON --ETC F/G 13/13  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM (NJ00777),--ETC(U)  
AUG 81 W A GUINAN

DACW61-79-C-0011

UNCLASSIFIED

DAEN/NAP-53R42/N.J.00777-R1/ NI

1 OF  
40 A  
101-11



AD-A103775

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN  
MINE BROOK, MORRIS COUNTY  
NEW JERSEY

# MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM NJ 00777

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DTIC FILE COPY

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Philadelphia District  
Corps of Engineers  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

REPT. NO: DAEN/NAP-53842/NJ00777-81/08  
AUGUST 1981

DTIC  
ELECT  
SEP 9 1981

S

D

81 9 09 088

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER DAEN/NAP/53842/NJ00777-81/00	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A103	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 775
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam (NJ00777), Morris County, N.J.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 FINAL
7. AUTHOR(s) Guinan, Warren, P.E.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 15 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW61-79-C-0011	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Anderson-Nichols 150 Causeway St. Boston, MA 02114		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12/12
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS NJ Department of Environmental Protection Division of Water Resources P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625		12. REPORT DATE 11 August, 1981
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia Custom House, 2d & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 50
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		16a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams National Dam Safety Program Erosion Embankments Mine Hill Reservoir Dam, N.J. Visual Inspection Seepage Structural Analysis Spillways		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report cites results of a technical investigation as to the dam's adequacy. The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as prescribed by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. The technical investigation includes visual inspection, review of available design and construction records, and preliminary structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculations, as applicable. An assessment of the dam's general condition is included in the report.		



IN REPLY REFER TO

NAPEN-N

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CUSTOM HOUSE-2 D & CHESTNUT STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

31 AUG 1981

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne  
Governor of New Jersey  
Trenton, New Jersey 08621

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Mine Hill Reservoir Dam in Morris County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Mine Hill Reservoir Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in fair overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to 3 percent of the Spillway Design Flood - SDF - would cause the dam to be overtopped. (The SDF, in this instance, is one half of the Probable Maximum Flood). To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

b. Within one year from the date of approval of this report the owner should engage a qualified professional consultant to perform the following:

(1) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope and adjacent to the principal spillway wingwalls.

(2) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees from the slope downstream of the dam for a distance of about 25 feet or to the property line, whichever is less, from the downstream face of the concrete capping on to the right of the emergency spillway.

(3) Investigate the minor seepage at the left abutment of the dam and design and oversee required corrective measures.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

(4) Design necessary remedial measures to prevent undermining of the downstream principal spillway apron by flow in the spillway channel downstream from the spillway.

(5) Design and oversee repairs to the concrete principal spillway training walls.

(6) Relocate the gate valves on the 10-inch water supply and draw-down lines to place them at or near the inlets on the upstream side of the dam.

c. Within one year from the date of approval of this report the following remedial actions should be initiated:

(1) Cut small trees growing in the stone masonry wall on the downstream face of the dam.

(2) Repair service bridge.

(3) Repair stoplog and supports.

(4) Repair concrete spalling on numerous surfaces on the dam.

(5) Replace concrete joint filler.

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam, within one year from the date of approval of this report.

e. An emergency action plan and warning system should be developed which outlines actions to be taken by the owner to minimize the downstream effects of an emergency at the dam within six months from the date of approval of this report.

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Courter of the Thirteenth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

An important aspect of the Dam Inspection Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,



ROGER L. BALDWIN  
Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
Commander and District Engineer

Incl  
As stated

Copies furnished:

Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director  
Division of Water Resources  
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P.O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. John O'Dowd, Acting Chief  
Bureau of Flood Plain Regulation  
Division of Water Resources  
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P.O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM (NJ00777)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 21 April 1981 by Anderson-Nichols and Co. Inc., under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Mine Hill Reservoir Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in fair overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to 3 percent of the Spillway Design Flood - SDF - would cause the dam to be overtopped. (The SDF, in this instance, is one half of the Probable Maximum Flood). To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

b. Within one year from the date of approval of this report the owner should engage a qualified professional consultant to perform the following:

(1) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope and adjacent to the principal spillway wingwalls.

(2) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees from the slope downstream of the dam for a distance of about 25 feet or to the property line, whichever is less, from the downstream face of the concrete capping on to the right of the emergency spillway.

(3) Investigate the minor seepage at the left abutment of the dam and design and oversee required corrective measures.

(4) Design necessary remedial measures to prevent undermining of the downstream principal spillway apron by flow in the spillway channel downstream from the spillway.

(5) Design and oversee repairs to the concrete principal spillway training walls.

(6) Relocate the gate valves on the 10-inch water supply and draw-down lines to place them at or near the inlets on the upstream side of the dam.

c. Within one year from the date of approval of this report the following remedial actions should be initiated:

(1) Cut small trees growing in the stone masonry wall on the downstream face of the dam.

- (2) Repair service bridge.
- (3) Repair stoplog and supports.
- (4) Repair concrete spalling on numerous surfaces on the dam.
- (5) Replace concrete joint filler.

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam, within one year from the date of approval of this report.

e. An emergency action plan and warning system should be developed which outlines actions to be taken by the owner to minimize the downstream effects of an emergency at the dam within six months from the date of approval of this report.

APPROVED:



ROGER L. BALDWIN  
Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
Commander and District Engineer

DATE:

31 Aug 81

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	Mine Hill Reservoir
Identification No.:	Fed ID No. NJ00777
State Located:	New Jersey
County Located:	Morris
Stream:	Mine Brook
River Basin:	Delaware
Date of Inspection	April 21, 1981


ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is an 85-year old concrete and stone masonry dam in fair overall condition. It is small in size and has a significant hazard classification. There is some leakage from the dam, with spalling and cracking of the surface concrete. The principal spillway is a 12-foot stoplog weir, with uncontrolled discharge also occurring from an 8-inch pipe and a 12-foot overflow emergency spillway. The total ungated spillway capacity at the crest of the main dam embankment would pass less than 2 percent of the one-half probable maximum flood inflow hydrograph outflow. The spillway capacity of Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is inadequate.

It is recommended that the owner retain the services of a professional engineer, qualified in the design and inspection of dams, to accomplish the following tasks in the near future: investigate the adequacy of the spillway capacity and design and oversee remedial measures as needed; design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope and near the spillway wingwalls; design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees from the slope downstream of the dam; investigate the minor seepage at the left abutment and design and oversee required corrective measures; design necessary remedial measures to prevent undermining of the downstream principal spillway apron; design and oversee repairs to the concrete principal spillway walls; and relocate the gate valves on the 10-inch water supply and draw-down lines to place them at or near the inlets on the upstream side of the dam. It is further recommended that the owner undertake the following as a part of operating and maintenance procedures. In the near future: develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam; cut small

trees growing in the masonry wall on the dam's downstream face; repair the bridge to the masonry intake tower; repair the stoplogs and supports; repair the concrete spalling on the dam; and replace concrete joint filler.

ANDERSON-NICHOLS & COMPANY, INC.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Warren A. Guinan".

Warren A. Guinan, P.E.  
Project Manager  
New Jersey No. 16848



OVERVIEW PHOTO  
MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM

April 21, 1981

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonable possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

## CONTENTS

### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY REPORT

MINE HILL RESERVOIR FED ID NO. NJ00777

SECTION		<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION	
	1.1 <u>General</u>	
	1.2 <u>Project Description</u>	
	1.3 <u>Pertinent Data</u>	
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA	
	2.1 <u>Design</u>	
	2.2 <u>Construction</u>	
	2.3 <u>Operation</u>	
	2.4 <u>Evaluation</u>	
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION	
SECTION 4	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
	4.1 <u>Procedures</u>	
	4.2 <u>Maintenance of Dam</u>	
	4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>	
	4.4 <u>Warning System</u>	
	4.5 <u>Evaluation of Operational Adequacy</u>	
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES	
	7.1 <u>Assessment</u>	
	7.2 <u>Recommendations/Remedial Measures</u>	
FIGURES	1. Regional Vicinity Map	
	2. Essential Project Features	
	3. Essential Project Features	
APPENDICES	1. Check List Visual Inspection	
	2. Photographs	
	3. Hydrologic Computations	
	4. Engineering Data	
	5. HEC1 Output	
	6. References	

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY INSPECTION PROGRAM  
MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM  
FED ID NO. #NJ00777

SECTION 1  
PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority. Authority to perform the Phase I Safety Inspection of Mine Hill Reservoir Dam was received from the State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources by letter dated 12 December 1980 under Basic Contract No. FPM-39 and Contract No. A01093 dated 10 October 1979. This Authority was given pursuant to the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 and by agreement between the State and the U.S. Army Engineers District, Philadelphia. The inspection discussed herein was performed by Anderson-Nichols & Company, Inc.

b. Purpose: The purpose of the Phase I Investigation is to develop an assessment of the general conditions with respect to the safety of Mine Hill Reservoir Dam and appurtenances. Conclusions are based upon available data and visual inspection. The results of this inspection are used to determine any need for emergency measures and to conclude whether or not additional studies, investigations, and analyses are necessary and warranted.

1.2 Project Description

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam (known locally as Lower Mine Hill Reservoir Dam) is a granite masonry structure with a concrete upstream facing and cap. The dam crest varies in elevation, and is about 27 feet above the low point on dam's toe. The principal spillway is a 12-foot long stoplog weir on the right (north) end of the dam. There is also an open 8-inch transite pipe in the dam's crest, with its invert slightly lower than the crest of the stoplogs. The emergency spillway is a 12-foot long notch in the dam's crest, only slightly lower than the crest. The total length of the dam and spillways is about 310 feet.

Two 10-inch gated pipes in the reservoir can be used as low-level outlets. One of the pipes is located at the base of a 13.2 foot diameter masonry tower located in the reservoir. The inlet to the other pipe is in the upstream face of the dam. The pipes are controlled by valves located on the downstream slope of the dam. One of these pipes leads to the Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority's water treatment plant, while the other is for use as a blow-off line. These pipes are interconnected near the valve box.

b. Location. The Dam is located in Mount Olive Township, New Jersey, on Mine Brook. It is about 1 mile east of the City of Hackettstown, east of Route 46 on an unimproved road. The dam is at 40° 50.5' north latitude and 74° 48.0' west longitude on the Hackettstown U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle Map. A location map is included as Figure 2.

c. Size Classification. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is classified as being small in size on the basis of storage at the dam crest of 35.3 acre-feet, which is less than 1000 acre-feet, and on the basis of its structural height of 30 feet, which is more than 25 feet and less than 40 feet, in accordance with criteria given in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

d. Hazard Classification. Visual inspection indicated that there are a group of houses on Mine Brook about 3400 feet downstream of the dam. In view of the potential for economic damage and the loss of few, if any lives, Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is classified as significant hazard.

e. Ownership. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is owned by the Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority, P.O. Box 450, Hackettstown, New Jersey 08903. Mr. Joseph Richards can provide information about the dam, and can be reached at the above address.

f. Purpose. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is used as part of the water supply for the City of Hackettstown, New Jersey.

g. Design and Construction History. The original plans and design notes for Mine Hill Dam, which was constructed in 1896, were not available. Repairs were made to the dam in 1943-44 and again in 1964 (See Appendix 4).

h. Normal Operation Procedure. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is operated for water supply, with one of the two 10-inch gated pipes used to convey water to a 1.0 MGD treatment plant as needed. Mr. Joseph Richards of the Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority stated that the Authority generally removes the stoplogs from the principal spillway prior to large storms to preserve water quality in the reservoir.

i. Site Geology. No site specific geologic information (such as borings) was available at the time the dam was inspected. Information derived from the Geologic Map of New Jersey (Kummel and Lewis, 1912) indicates soils within the immediate site consist of ground moraine overlying bedrock. Bedrock was observed in sporadic outcrops at the left abutment during inspection of this dam. The previously mentioned map indicates that bedrock in this area consists of granitoid gneiss of Precambrian age.

### 1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

1.77 square miles

b. Discharge at Damsite (cfs)

Maximum flood at damsite - unknown

Total ungated spillway capacity at top of dam - 46 cfs  
with stop logs in place; 238 cfs with stop logs removed

c. Elevation (ft. above NGVD)

Top of dam - 802.0

Spillway Design Flood (SDF) - 804.8

Normal pool (at time of inspection) - 800.5

Spillway crest - 800.7 invert of 8-inch pipe  
- 801.0 crest of stoplogs, principal  
spillway  
- 798.4 principal spillway crest with  
stoplogs removed  
- 801.9 emergency spillway crest

Streambed at centerline of spillway - 775 (toe of dam  
below 8-inch AC pipe)

Maximum tailwater at emergency spillway - 778  
(estimate)

d. Reservoir length (feet)

Maximum pool - 700 (estimated)

Spillway crest - 600 (estimated)

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Spillway crest - at 800.7 ft. = 31.9  
- at 801.0 ft. = 32.7

Top of dam - at 802.0 ft. = 35.3

Test Flood (PMF) - at 804.8 ft. = 43

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam - 2.9

Emergency spillway crest - 2.9

Principal spillway crest - 2.9

g. Dam

Type - masonry and concrete

Length - 310.5 feet (includes spillways)

Height - 27 feet (hydraulic)

- 30 feet (structural)

Top width - ranges 3 to 10 feet

Side slopes - upstream vertical; downstream varies from 1H:3V to 2H:1V

Zoning - not applicable

Impervious core - unknown

Cutoff - unknown

Grout curtain - unknown

h. Principal Spillway and Ungated Pipe

	<u>Principal Spillway</u>	<u>8-Inch Pipe</u>
Type	Stoplog weir	8-inch AC (ungated)
Length of weir	12 feet	Not applicable
Elevation (feet above NGVD)	Crest without stoplogs = 798.4 Crest with stoplogs = 801.0	Invert = 800.7
U/S Channel	20-foot wide channel	Reservoir
D/S Channel	Steep, rocky channel	Free outfall

Note: Two concrete weir sections on either side of stoplog spillway, at slightly higher elevation. Length 5' on right, 10.5' on left.

i. Emergency Spillway

Type	Concrete overflow weir
Length	12 feet
Crest elevation (feet above NGVD)	801.9
U/S Channel	Reservoir
D/S Channel	Free outfall

j. Regulating Outlets

	<u>Pipe to Water Treatment Plant</u>	<u>Draw Down Pipe</u>
Type	CIP	CIP
Diameter (inches)	10	10
Invert (feet above NGVD)	about 780.5	about 775
Control	Valve on D/S slope of dam	Valve on d/s slope of dam (outlet covered by flange plate bolted to pipe)
U/S Channel	Reservoir	Reservoir
D/S Channel	10" pipe to water treatment plant	Free outfall

## SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

### 2.1 Design

No original plans, hydraulic or hydrologic data for Mine Hill Reservoir Dam were found.

### 2.2 Construction

Mine Hill Reservoir Dam was originally constructed in 1896 with major repairs undertaken in 1943-44 and 1964. A letter report describing the repairs undertaken in 1964 was available in the files of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. This report is included in Appendix 4, Engineering Data.

### 2.3 Operation

The Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority uses the Mine Hill Reservoir for water supply and draws water according to need.

### 2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability. A search of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection files revealed very little information. All available information was retrieved.

b. Adequacy. The engineering data available on this dam, together with data from visual observations, was adequate to allow evaluation of the dam for this Phase I Inspection.

SECTION 3  
VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. Dam.

Small trees are growing in the vertical masonry wall near the downstream edge of the dam crest. A slight amount of seepage was noted between masonry blocks near the crest of the dam and at the contact of the dam with the left abutment. Seepage was clear with no evidence of suspended fines. An earth berm is located downstream of the vertical masonry wall. The berm extends from the low-level outlet on the left side of the dam to the right spillway channel. Extensive erosion has occurred along the contact between the berm and the vertical masonry wall. Numerous trees up to 10 inches in diameter have been planted on the downstream slope of the berm. Erosion gullies up to 3 feet deep have occurred on the downstream slope and adjacent to the left spillway wingwall. Flowing water associated with an 8-inches diameter pipe which extends from the upstream face of the dam and terminates at the downstream slope near the left spillway wingwall may have been responsible for erosion and sloughing of the downstream slope near the end of the left spillway wingwall.

Some cracking (1/8 inch wide) on the upstream gunite facing was noted. The concrete cap is spalled in an area near the service bridge and some of the joint filler is missing. The masonry mortar is generally cracked over the entire downstream face of the dam. There are numerous rusting steel rods protruding through the upstream face.

b. Appurtenant Structures

1. Gated Spillway

Considerable erosion has occurred along the floor of the spillway discharge channel. Seepage water is discharging near the end of the left spillway training wall. The water is clear with no evidence of suspended fines. Water flowing in the spillway discharge channel passes underneath the spillway apron through openings between the stones approximately 20 feet from the end of the apron.

The spillway channel walls on both sides, upstream and downstream of the stoplogs, are generally cracked and spalled. Continuous undermining of the concrete walls at the base was observed. The bottom of the channel is open stone which permits discharge water to infiltrate. The wooden stoplogs are badly deflected and the center support is bent.

## 2. Outlet Works

The valve boxes for the two 10-inch low-level outlet pipes were visible at the time of the inspection. Although the valves were not tested, Mr. Joseph Richards of the Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority stated that they are in operating condition.

The main beams of the service bridge to the masonry tower are badly rusted and the wood deck is weathered.

### c. Reservoir Area

The watershed above the lake is gently to steeply sloping. Slopes on the shore of the lake appear to be stable. No evidence of significant sedimentation was observed.

### d. Downstream Channel

Erosion has occurred on the right and left banks of the channel immediately downstream of the end of the principal spillway apron for a distance of 100 to 200 feet. Numerous trees have fallen into the channel and the channel bottom is covered with debris and boulders.

## SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

### 4.1 Procedures

The owner withdraws water from the reservoir as needed for municipal water supply. Water is withdrawn to Hackettstown's water filtration plant by a 10-inch pipe controlled by a valve on the downstream slope of the dam.

### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

Mr. Joseph Richards of the Hackettstown Municipal Utility Authority stated that their engineer conducts annual inspections of the dam.

### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

No formal maintenance procedures for the operating facilities were disclosed. The fact that the reservoir is used for water supply purposes requires the Authority to keep operating facilities functional.

### 4.4 Warning System

No description of any warning system was disclosed.

### 4.5 Evaluation of Operational Adequacy

The overall operation and maintenance procedures for the dam seemed adequate. The remedial measures described in Section 7.2 should be implemented as prescribed.

SECTION 5  
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data. Because no hydrologic or hydraulic data were revealed, an evaluation could not be performed.

b. Experience Data. No experience data were found.

c. Visual Inspections. No evidence of past overtopping of the dam crest was noted. Owing to the extremely steep stream channel downstream of the dam, it does not appear likely that tailwater conditions would affect spillway outflow. Valving of the 10-inch CIPs is on the downstream side of the dam; because these are located in the embankment section, a rupture above the valves would wash out the embankment.

d. Overtopping Potential. The hydraulic/hydrologic evaluation for the dam is based on a selected Spillway Design Flood (SDF) equal to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) in accordance with the range of test floods given in the evaluation guidelines for dams classified as significant hazard and small in size. The PMF was determined by application of a 24-hour Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) of 23.2 inches to the SCS dimensionless unit hydrograph. Hydrologic computations are given in Appendix 3. The routed one-half PMF peak outflow from the reservoir is 3,548 cfs.

Water will rise to elevation 802.0, one foot above the principal spillway with stoplogs in place, before overtopping the low point on the crest of the major part of the dam. Under this head the project's ungated outflow capacity is 46 cfs, which is less than the selected SDF.

Flood routing calculations indicate that Mine Hill Reservoir Dam will be overtopped for 12.9 hours to a maximum depth of 2.8 feet under one-half PMF conditions. It is estimated that the emergency spillway can pass 2 percent of the Spillway Design Flood inflow hydrograph, which is one-half the Probable Maximum Flood, without overtopping the dam. If the stoplogs were removed, the spillway could pass about 238 cfs before being overtopped, which is still only 6 percent of the one-half PMF inflow hydrograph.

e. Draw Down. Assuming no inflow, the reservoir pool can be drawn down in about two days using the two 10-inch valved pipes which serve as low-level outlets. Thus the drawdown capacity of this dam is adequate.

## SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

Small trees growing in the vertical masonry wall on the downstream face near the crest will cause continuing deterioration of that wall and may result in seepage or erosion problems.

Minor leakage through joints between masonry blocks on the downstream face could lead to stability problems.

The trees growing on the downstream berm may blow over and pull out their roots or they may die with the result that their roots rot. In either case, severe seepage and erosion problems could result if the roots extend between the masonry blocks of the downstream face. Erosion on the surface of the berm, if not controlled, could contribute to stability problems in the dam if significant portions of the berm are eroded away.

Minor seepage is occurring at the contact of the masonry dam and the left rock abutment could result in failure of the dam, if not controlled. Because of freezing and thawing action, such seepage, if not controlled, could result in a serious problem.

Erosion along the discharge channel apron may undermine the spillway apron and cause collapse of the spillway wingwalls.

Based on the visual inspection alone, it is not possible to determine the character of the dam and spillway foundations or the interior of the cross section of the downstream berm or the slope of the upstream side of the masonry stone wall. Therefore, it is not possible to evaluate the factor of safety of the dam against slope failure, sliding, or overturning.

### 6.2 Design and Construction Data

No design or construction data pertinent to the structural stability of the dam are available.

### 6.3 Operating Records

No operating records pertinent to the structural stability of the dam were available.

### 6.4 Post-Construction Changes

Repairs to the dam were carried out in 1943-1944 and again in 1964. In the 1940's, a gunite upstream facing was placed on the dam. In 1964, this gunite facing was replaced, and

pressure grouting used to replace old mortar in the masonry. A trench about 3 feet deep was dug along the base of the dam, the masonry was sealed and gunite facing applied; the trench was backfilled with mud from the reservoir bottom.  
(See Appendix 4.)

#### 6.5 Seismic Stability

This dam is in Seismic Zone 1. According to the Recommended Guidelines, dams located in Seismic Zone 1 "may be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist." None of the visual observations made during the inspection are indicative of unstable conditions. However, because no data are available concerning the engineering properties of the embankment and foundation materials for this dam, is not possible to make an engineering evaluation of stability or the factor of safety under static conditions.

SECTION 7  
ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition. Mine Hill Reservoir Dam is 85 years old and in fair condition.

b. Adequacy of Information. The information available is such that the assessment of the dam must be based primarily on the results of the visual inspection.

c. Urgency. The recommendations made in 7.2.a and 7.2.b. should be implemented by the owner as prescribed.

d. Necessity for Additional Data/Evaluation. The information available from the visual inspection is adequate to identify the potential problems which are listed in 7.2.a. These problems require the attention of a professional engineer who will have to make additional engineering studies to design or specify remedial measures to rectify the problems. If left unattended, the problems could lead to failure of the dam.

7.2 Recommendation/Remedial Measures



a. Recommendations. The owner should retain a professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to accomplish the following in the near future:

- (1) Investigate the adequacy of the spillway capacity and design and oversee remedial measures as needed.
- (2) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope and adjacent to the principal spillway wingwalls.
- (3) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees from the slope downstream of the dam for a distance of about 25 feet or to the property line, whichever is less, from the downstream face of the concrete capping on to the right of the emergency spillway.
- (4) Investigate the minor seepage at the left abutment of the dam and design and oversee required corrective measures.
- (5) Design necessary remedial measures to prevent undermining of the downstream principal spillway apron by flow in the spillway channel downstream from the spillway.

- (6) Design and oversee repairs to the concrete principal spillway training walls.
- (7) Relocate the gate valves on the 10-inch water supply and draw-down lines to place them at or near the inlets on the upstream side of the dam.


b. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The owner should accomplish the following in the near future:

- (1) Develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam.
- (2) Cut small trees growing in the stone masonry wall on the downstream face of the dam.
- (3) Repair service bridge.
- (4) Repair stoplog and supports.
- (5) Repair concrete spalling on numerous surface on the dam.
- (6) Replace concrete joint filler.



## ELEVATION

Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIST PHILADELPHIA	
BOSTON		CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
MASSACHUSETTS		PHILADELPHIA, PA	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS			
MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM			
MINE BROOK		NEW JERSEY	
		SCALE: NOT TO SCALE	
		DATE: JUNE 1981	



SCALE IN MILES



MAP BASED ON STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
OFFICIAL MAP & GUIDE.

Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIST. PHILADELPHIA	
BOSTON		CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
MASSACHUSETTS		PHILADELPHIA, PA.	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS			
MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM			
LOCATION MAP			
MINE BROOK		NEW JERSEY	
		SCALE: 1" = 4 Miles Approx.	
		DATE:	

APPENDIX 1

CHECK LIST

VISUAL INSPECTION

MINE HILL RESERVOIR

Check List  
Visual Inspection  
Phase 1

Name Dam Mine Hill Reservoir County Morris State NJ (00777) Coordinators NJDEP  
Date(s) Inspection 2/19/81 Weather Overcast Temperature 40°  
4/21/81 Clear 45°  
Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 800.5 ft NGVD Tailwater at Time of Inspection none NGVD

Inspection Personnel:

<u>Gilman</u>	<u>Stone</u>
<u>Guinan</u>	<u>Joseph Richards (Owner representative)</u>
<u>Murdock</u>	

Gilman/Murdock/Stone Recorder

## CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SEEPAGE OR LEAKAGE	Leakage observed between masonry stone near crest of dam in vicinity of left abutment. Slight seepage between upper masonry blocks.	Investigate seepage and take remedial action as needed.
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	Slight seepage at left abutment junction. Some erosion evident at junction of dam with both abutments.	Investigate seepage and take remedial action as needed.
DRAINS	None	
WATER PASSAGES	None	
FOUNDATION	Dam appears to be founded on bedrock in the vicinity of left abutment.	

CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	Some cracking (1/8-inch) on u/s concrete gunite facing. Top concrete slab has some spalling near bridge to outlet work (depth = 3/4-inch). D/s masonry mortar is cracked and spalled in numerous places. There are numerous rusting steel rods protruding through u/s face.	Repair u/s cracking and top slab spalling.
STRUCTURAL CRACKING	None	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Good, no visual indication of either horizontal or vertical movement.	
MONOLITH JOINTS	Not applicable	
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS	Good, no indication of movement, some of elastic joint filler has been removed.	Replace damaged joint filler.
RAILINGS:	None	Appears to be a need of railing along top of dam.

# GATED SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE SILL	Fair condition, some surface spalling and erosion. Top of right abutment is badly spalled and cracked.	Repair deteriorated concrete.
APPROACH CHANNEL	Unobstructed concrete walls are cracked and spalled - both sides. Bottom of channel is covered with silt and debris.	Repair deterioration.
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Debris and trees in upper portion. Both sides are cracked and spalled. Continuous undermining of concrete walls at base. Bottom of channel placed stone - water is entering bottom of channel and exiting below spillway.	Repair undermining of concrete walls and spalling. Seal channel bottom.
BRIDGE AND PIERS	Not applicable	
GATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT	Wood stop logs are deflected d/s. Center support pipe is bent downstream.	Repair center support. Replace stop log w/stiffer planks.

UNGATED SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

OBSERVATIONS

REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCRETE WEIR

Good condition - no deficiencies observed.

APPROACH CHANNEL

Debris in channel.

DISCHARGE CHANNEL

Bedrock bottom - clear and very steep.

BRIDGE AND PIERS  
OVER SPILLWAY

Not applicable

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	EMBANKMENT	(Earth berm downstream of masonry stone section)	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS			None	
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE			None	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES			Considerable sloughing and erosion on the downstream slope - numerous trees present up to 11 in. diameter.	Repair eroded areas, remove trees.
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST			Good	
RIPRAP FAILURES				Vertical concrete faced upstream section.

EMBANKMENT

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
RAILINGS	Not Applicable	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Erosion evident at both abutments and adjacent to right and left spillway wing walls.	Repair eroded areas
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	Seepage between masonry blocks near crest of dam, also adjacent to right spillway wingwall and below spillway floor.	Investigate seepage and take remedial measures as needed.
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	Not Applicable	
DRAINS	None observed	

# OUTLET WORKS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	Not visible	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Good condition	
OUTLET PIPE	Rusted cast iron	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Steep bedrock channel, some debris, trees overhanging channel.	
EMERGENCY GATE	Not operated on day of inspection.	
SERVICE BRIDGE	Main beams are badly rusted. Wood deck is weathered w/some pieces in deteriorated condition. No railings.	Clean and paint. Replace deteriorated pieces and paint.

# RESERVOIR

## REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

## OBSERVATIONS

## VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

Moderate to steeply sloped, heavily wooded.

## SLOPES

No noticeable sedimentation.

## SEDIMENTATION

# DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

## VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

## OBSERVATIONS

## REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

### CONDITION

(OBSTRUCTIONS,  
DEBRIS, ETC.)

Trees on both sides of channel, con-  
siderable debris in channel

Because of the steep channel down-  
stream, debris is not likely to  
create a backwater effect at the  
dam toe.

### SLOPES

Very steep

### APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION

5-10 houses adjacent to stream.

CHECK LIST  
ENGINEERING DATA  
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

ITEM	REMARKS
PLAN OF DAM	None found
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Prepared for this report
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Constructed 1896, repairs 1943-44 and 1964
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	None
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	None
OUTLETS - PLAN	None found
- DETAILS	None found
- CONSTRAINTS	None found
- DISCHARGE RATINGS	None found

RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS

ITEM	REMARKS
DESIGN REPORTS	None found
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None found
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	None found
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	None found
POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None found
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown

ITEM	REMARKS
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None
MODIFICATIONS	None
HIGH POOL RECORDS	None
- POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING - STUDIES AND REPORTS	1964 report describing repairs to dam
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	None
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	None

ITEMS	REMARKS
SPILLWAY PLAN	
SECTIONS	Prepared for this report from field inspection
DETAILS	None
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS & DETAILS	None

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA  
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 1.77 Square miles, mountainous,  
wooded, undeveloped

ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 800.7' NGVD  
(31.9 acre-feet)

ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY) Not applicable

ELEVATION MAXIMUM TEST FLOOD POOL: 806.3' NGVD (47.9 acre feet)

ELEVATION TOP DAM: 802.0 NGVD (35.3 acre-feet)

SPILLWAY CREST: Free overflow stoplog spillway

a. Elevation 801.0' NGVD

b. Type stoplogs

c. Width 2"

d. Length 12'

e. Location Spillover Right (north) side of dam

f. Number and Type of Gates four 8" high stoplogs

OUTLET WORKS: Two 10" pipes with gate valves on downstream slope

a. Type Corrugated metal pipe

b. Location in masonry tower and in dam face

c. Entrance Invert 775' NGVD and 780.5' NGVD (estimated)

d. Exit Invert 775' NGVD AND 780.5' NGVD

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: None

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 46 cfs before overtopping with  
stoplogs in place; 238 cfs with stoplogs removed

APPENDIX 2


PHOTOGRAPHS

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM



April 21, 1981

View looking u/s in spillway channel.



April 21, 1981

View of spillway approach channel. Stoplogs in place on spillway crest.



April 21, 1981

View of spalled concrete caps on partitioned box at diversion from canal. Note stoplog notches on either side of opening.



April 21, 1981

Looking along axis of dam crest from the right (north).



April 21, 1981

View of d/s face of dam looking toward left abutment contact with natural rock face. Note seepage through masonry on face below spillway notch.




April 21, 1981

View of seepage exiting underneath end of right spillway training wall.



April 21, 1981

View of seepage on face of dam and small trees growing between the stones which are retaining a portion of the downstream embankment section.



April 21, 1981

View of valve box for blowoff and water supply lines.




April 21, 1961

View of erosion up to 3 ft deep occurring on downstream slope.

APPENDIX 3

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM



**NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF  
NON-FED. DAMS**

**MINE HILL RESERVOIR  
MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY  
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP**

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

**SCALE IN MILES**



MAP BASED ON U.S.G.S. 7.5 MINUTE QUADRANGLE  
SHEETS. TRANQUILITY, N.J., 1954, REVISED 1971,  
AND HACKETTSTOWN, N.J., 1953, REVISED 1971.

JOB NO.

 SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
 1/4 IN. SCALE

## TIME OF CONCENTRATION

## ① Texas Highway Method

overland

longest path overland = 3,000 feet

$$\text{slope} \approx \frac{170 \text{ ft}}{3,000 \text{ ft}} = 5.6$$

for woods, velocity = 2.0 fps\*

channel

length = 7,500 feet

$$\text{slope} = \frac{1,075 - 800}{7,500} = 3.7\%$$

velocity = 3.0 fps\*

$$\text{Time} = \text{overland} + \text{channel} = \frac{3,000 \text{ ft}}{2 \text{ fps}} + \frac{7,500 \text{ ft}}{3 \text{ fps}} = 4,000 \text{ sec} = 1.11 \text{ hrs}$$

## ② Soil &amp; Water Conservation

$$L = 0.6 T_c = \frac{l^{0.8} (S+1)^{1.67}}{9,000 y^{0.5}}$$

$$S = \frac{1,000}{CN} - 10$$

$$y = \frac{1235 - 800}{10,500} = 4.1\%$$

l = 10,500 ft

CN = 70 for good condition woods of soil group C

$$S = \frac{1,000}{70} - 10 = 4.3$$

$$T_c = \frac{L}{0.6} = \frac{10,500^{0.8} (5.3)^{1.67}}{9,000 (4.1)^{0.5} (0.6)} = 2.44 \text{ hrs}$$

\* Bureau of Reclamation, Design of Small Dams, figure 30

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALE

③ Weston or SCS T.R. #55

overland:

$$\text{slope} = 5.6\%; \text{ length} = 3,000 \text{ feet}$$

from TR 55 graph,  $V = 0.6 \text{ fps}$ 

$$\frac{3,000}{0.6} = 5,000 \text{ sec} = 1.39 \text{ hr.}$$

channel:

say a 1' x 10' rectangle, use Manning's formula

$$A = 10 \text{ ft}^2 \quad R = \frac{A}{10 + 1 + 1} = 0.83 \text{ ft}$$

use  $n = 0.035$ 

$$V = \frac{1.49}{0.035} (0.83)^{2/3} (.041)^{1/2} = 7.6 \text{ fps}$$

$$\text{Time} = \frac{7,500}{7.6} = 987 \text{ sec} = 0.27 \text{ hr.}$$

$$\text{Total} = 1.39 + 0.27 = 1.66 \text{ hr.}$$

④ Kerby  
overland

$$T_c = 0.83 \left( \frac{Nl}{V_s} \right)^{0.467}$$

 $N$  for Timber = 0.7,  $S = 0.056$ ,  $l = 3,000 \text{ ft}$ 

$$T_c = 0.83 \left( \frac{0.7(3000)}{V_{0.056}} \right)^{0.467} = 58 \text{ min} = 0.97 \text{ hr.}$$

channeluse Mannings formula, same as Method 3  $\rightarrow 0.27 \text{ hr.}$ 

$$\text{Total} = 0.97 + 0.27 = 1.24 \text{ hr.}$$

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALE

$$\text{Average } T_c \text{ from four methods} = \frac{1.11 + 2.44 + 1.66 + 1.24}{4} = 1.61 \text{ hr.}$$

$$T_{\text{Lag}} = 0.6 (\text{net}) = 0.97 \text{ hr.}$$

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALEStage-Discharge Curve

Assume: ① 10" pipe closed

② higher 8" pipe closed

③ stop logs in place

④  $E = \text{feet above NGVD} = h + 800.7$  (see p. 5)

From the hydraulic profile on page 5:

$$Q_{\text{pipe}} = C A \sqrt{2gH} \text{ since the pipe is submerged.}$$

 $C = \text{orifice coefficient} = 0.61$  $A = \text{Area} = \pi r^2 = \pi (1/3)^2 = 0.35 \text{ ft}^2$  $2g = 64.4$  $H = \text{head above middle of orifice} = E - 801.03$ 

$$Q_{\text{pipe}} = 0.61 (0.35) (\sqrt{64.4}) (\sqrt{E - 801.03}) = 1.71 \sqrt{E - 801.03}$$

$Q_{\text{spillway}} = \text{Flow over 5 foot weir to left} + \text{flow over 12 foot stop log weir} + \text{flow over 10.5 foot weir to right}$

$$= C_{w1} (5) (H_{w1})^{3/2} + C_{sl} (12) (H_{sl})^{3/2} + C_{w2} (10.5) (H_{w2})^{3/2}$$

 $C_{w1} = 2.8$  $H_{w1} = E - 801.5$  $C_{sl} = 3.1$  $H_{sl} = E - 801.0$  $C_{w2} = 2.7$  $H_{w2} = E - 801.9$ 

$$Q_{\text{spillway}} = 2.8 (5) (E - 801.5)^{3/2} + 3.1 (12) (E - 801.0)^{3/2} + 2.7 (10.5) (E - 801.9)^{3/2}$$


$Q_{\text{top of dam}} : C = 2.7$  for all top of dam weirs.  $H$  varies with top elevation of weir.

$$Q_{\text{top of dam}} = 2.7 (1) (E - 804.0)^{3/2} + 2.7 (1) (E - 803.0)^{3/2} + 2.7 (58) (E - 802.0)^{3/2} \\ + 2.7 (183) (E - 802.2)^{3/2} + 2.7 (2) (E - 802.5)^{3/2} + 2.7 (25) (E - 804.4)^{3/2}$$

$$Q_{\text{em. spillway}} = 2.7 (12) (E - 801.9)^{3/2}$$

$Q_{\text{side slopes}} : C = 2.5, Q = C (\text{Length}) (\text{avg. depth})^{3/2}$

$$Q_{\text{side slopes}} = 2.5 (5 (E - 804.4)) (0.5 (E - 804.4))^{3/2} + 2.5 (2 (E - 806.0)) (0.5 (E - 805.0))^{3/2}$$



# ANDERSON-NICHOLS

VERNON	BOSTON	CONCORD
HYDRAULIC PROFILE		
MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM		
DATE 6/16/81	SCALE: 1"=50' H, JOB NO. 1"=5' V	SHEET NO. P. 5 of 13

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALE

Stage 1s. Discharge

	elevation (ft. msl)	h (feet)	Q <sub>pipe</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>spillway</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>top of dam</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>e.s.</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>side slope</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>total</sub> (cfs)
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7	775	-25.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
8								
9	800.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10								
11	801.9	1.2	2	35	0	0	0	37
12								
13	802	1.3	2	43	0	1	0	46
14								
15	803	2.3	2	163	512	37	0	715
16								
17	804	3.3	3	335	1,650	99	0	2,087
18								
19	805	4.3	3	544	3,193	172	1	3,918
20								
21	807	6.3	4	1,054	7,317	373	50	8,798
22								
23	810	9.3	5	2,005	15,404	747	422	18,588
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								

Spillway + pipe + em. s/w

30	el.	Q
31	775	0
32	800.7	0
33	801.9	37
34	802	46
35	803	202
36	804	437
37	805	724
38	807	1,431
39	810	2,757

# HYNE HILL RESERVOIR DAM

STAGE vs. DISCHARGE

6/16/81

ANCO

TELE  
GRAPH

ELEVATION (FT. MSL)

spillway + pipe + emergency spillway

top of dam + side slopes

Total

P. 3 of 13

17,500

15,000

12,500

10,000

7,500

5,000

2,500

0

DISCHARGE (CFS)

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALEStage Storage Determination


Storage with pond full, 800.7 ft msl, = 10,400,000 gal = 31.9 ac-ft

ELEVATION (ft. msl)	SURFACE AREA (acres)	AVG. S.A. (acres)	INCREMENTAL STORAGE (acre-feet)	CUMULATIVE STORAGE (acre-feet)
800.7	2.9	-	-	31.9
820	4.5	3.7	71.4	103.3
840	9.4	6.95	139	242.3

INPUT for HEC-1 (from curve)

STAGE STORAGE


775	0
800.7	31.9
801.9	35
802	35.3
803	38.2
804	41.1
805	44
807	50
810	58.5



JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
IN. SCALEOVERTOPPING ANALYSIS

From HEC-1 output.



JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALEDRAWDOWN CALCULATIONS

C for the pipes

D = diameter = 10"

n = 0.015 from King's Handbook of HydraulicsA<sub>p</sub> = area =  $\pi (\frac{5}{12})^2 = 0.545 \text{ ft}^2$ L<sub>p</sub> = length = 50 ftK<sub>f</sub> = friction loss through pipeK<sub>L</sub> = entrance loss to pipe = 0.8C<sub>p</sub> = coefficient of discharge (incorporating A<sub>p</sub> & 2g)

C = coefficient of discharge

$$K_f = \frac{5087 n^2}{D^{4/3}} = \frac{5087 (.015)^2}{10^{4/3}} = 0.0531$$

$$C_p = 0.545 \sqrt{\frac{2g}{1 + K_L + K_f L_p}} = 0.545 \sqrt{\frac{64.4}{1 + 0.8 + 0.0531(50)}} = 2.07$$

$$C = \frac{C_p}{A \sqrt{2g}} = \frac{2.07}{(0.545)(\sqrt{64.4})} = 0.47$$

for drawdown calculations

- ① no significant inflow
- ② two 10" pipes operable - 1 to water treatment plant  
1 (lower) for blow-down.
- ③ The 10" pipe to the plant - say maximum at plant capacity of  
1 mgd 1.55 cfs. Midpoint of pipe at 780.9
- ④ The other lets water out at its full capacity. Q<sub>p</sub> = C<sub>p</sub> H<sup>1/2</sup>. Midpoint at 785.1
- ⑤ A<sub>c</sub> - ft/day = 1.98 · Q<sub>AVG</sub>
- ⑥ Days = Δ Storage / A<sub>c</sub> - ft/day
- ⑦ Storage = 0 at 775, 31.9 at 800.7. Say 780 = 2; 785 = 6; 790 = 12  
795 = 20

JOB NO.

IN. SCALE 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

Elev. - Ft above NGVD	Storage Ac. - Ft	$\Delta S$ Ac. - Ft	H (plant pipe) Feet	Q (plant pipe) CFS	H (Elev. off pipe) CFS	Q (Elev. off pipe) CFS	Q <sub>TOTAL</sub> CFS	Q <sub>AVG</sub> CFS	Ac. - Ft PER DAY	DAYS
800.7	31.9		19.2	1.55	25.3	10.41	11.96			
		11.9						11.335	22.5	0.53
795	20		14.1	1.55	19.6	9.16	10.71			
		8						10.085	20.0	0.40
790	12		9.1	1.55	14.6	7.91	9.46			
		6						8.71	17.3	0.35
785	6		4.1	1.55	9.6	6.41	7.96			
		4						6.20	12.3	0.33
780	2		-	-	4.6	4.44	4.44			
		2						2.22	4.4	0.45
775	0		-	-	-	0	0			

$$\Sigma = 2.06 \text{ days}$$

JOB NO.

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30  
1/4 IN. SCALECapacity with Stop Logs Removed

$$Q_{\text{spillway}} = 2.8(5)(H_w)^{3/2} + 2.8(12)(H_{\text{spill}})^{3/2} + 2.7(10.5)(H_{w_2})^{3/2}$$

$$H_w = \text{head above } h = 0.8 \quad H_{\text{spill}} = \text{head above } h = 1.3$$

$$H_{w_2} = \text{head above } h = 1.2$$

$$\text{at } 802.0 \text{ Ft msl, } h = 1.3, \quad H_w = 0.5, \quad H_{\text{spill}} = 3.6, \quad H_{w_2} = 0.1$$

$$Q_{\text{spillway}} = 2.8(5)(0.5)^{3/2} + 2.8(12)(3.6)^{3/2} + 2.7(10.5)(0.1)^{3/2} = 235 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{\text{total}} = Q_{\text{pipe}} + Q_{\text{e.s.}} + Q_{\text{spillway}}$$

$$= 2 + 1 + 235 = 238 \text{ cfs}$$

\* see p. 6 of these calcs.

APPENDIX 4  
ENGINEERING DATA

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM

MINE Hill RES

AS PER YOUR REQUEST



JOSEPH J. RICHARDS  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING  
424 HURLEY DRIVE  
HACKETTSTOWN, N.J. 07840  
(201) 852-3622

NO REPLY IS NECESSARY

WATER UTILITIES DEPT  
MANAGEMENT

MAR 2 1981

**ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
*Hydraulic and Sanitary Engineers* • 48 ESSEX STREET, MILLBURN, NEW JERSEY 07041

ELSON T. KILLAM (1900-1968)

PETER HOMACK

ROBERT C. MOORE

JOSEPH P. FOLEY

GIFFORD R. BOYCE

FRANK A. FILIPPONE

OTTO MILGRAM

(201) 379-3400

October 23, 1969

Mr. Robert L. Hardman, P. E.  
Chief, Bureau of Water Control  
State of New Jersey  
Department of Conservation and Economic Development  
P. O. Box 1390  
Tranton, New Jersey 08625

Reference: Dam Application No. 356

Dear Mr. Hardman:

Lower Mine Hill Distributing Reservoir

In your letter of June 4, 1969, addressed to the Hackettstown Municipal Utilities Authority, it was reported that your records indicated that the original permit for the construction of Lower Mine Hill Distributing Reservoir was declared null and void by the Division on November 5, 1942. It was requested that as-built drawings and other engineering data explanatory of the design and construction methods used be submitted since the dam apparently was constructed without prior approval by the Division.

The Authority has reviewed their files and find no as-built drawings of this dam but there is correspondence and information in the files indicating that this reservoir was constructed in 1897. A copy of a letter dated May 12, 1961 from the Hackettstown Board of Water Commissioners to Mr. John Wyack, Secretary of the Water Policy and Supply Council, states that the Mine Hill Reservoir was built in 1897 under a grant by the Legislature of 1869 - Page 1090 - Paragraph 4.

In other correspondence, we find reference to a charter granted to the Hackettstown Aqueduct Company, under Laws of 1853, Page 369, and also reference to enabling acts by which the private plant (Hackettstown Aqueduct Company) was taken over by the Town of Hackettstown. Once again the Laws 1869, Page 1090 are mentioned.

Further, the approved drawings dated 1933 and 1934 for Hackettstown Storage Reservoir - Dam Application No. 218 show the Lower Mine Hill Reservoir to be an existing reservoir.

ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.

Mr. Robert L. Hardman, P. E.

Page 2

In view of the apparent year of construction (1897), we wonder if this is the reason that there is no approval in your files for the construction of this reservoir.

In addition, the Authority's file contains a print dated July 31, 1940, showing proposed repairs to Lower Mine Hill Reservoir, said repairs consisting of a solid reinforced concrete facing to be installed on the upstream facing of the existing rubble masonry dam. These repairs were never made and we wonder if the permit declared null and void on November 5, 1942 were to cover the proposed repairs indicated on this print dated July 31, 1940.

In view of the apparent date of construction of this reservoir, and the fact that no record plans of the construction are in the Authority's file, we would appreciate your advice on whether any additional information is required beyond the Annual Report dated May 27, 1969, which was recently submitted.

Very truly yours,

ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.

Gifford R. Boyce

GRB/jh

cc: Hackettstown Mun. Utilities Authority ✓

STATE N.J.  
WATER POLICY - GEN.

Annual Report - Dams

Application No. 218

For Year: 1969

Name of Dam Lower Mine Hill Dam

Date of Inspection: 5/16/69

Owner, Name Hackettstown Municipal Utilities Authority

Address 424 Hurley Drive; Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840

Description of condition of the following:

1. Embankment (Erosion, seepage, etc.)

The dam is of rock masonry construction built into the side hills. There is no sign of erosion and only slight seepage through the dam itself. In 1964 upstream face was grouted after repairs were made to the rock masonry.

2. Spillway (Concrete spalling, timber rotting, leakage, etc.)

Good Condition

3. Emergency Spillway (Erosion, growth of sod, riprap, etc.)

Perfect Condition

4. Outlet Works (Operational condition of valves or grates, condition of pipe, etc.)

Gate valve on outlet pipe and gate valve on blow off in good operating condition.

5. Inlet streams (Silt deposition, etc.)

Good condition - No silting

6. Outlet stream (Scouring, undercutting of dam, condition of stilling basin, etc.)

No scour or undercutting of dam - stilling basin in good condition.

7. General

- a. Did flood waters overtop dam during period of report? No  
If so, at what stage and date thereof.

- b. Report on any other condition not covered above.  
Dam and appurtenant works appear in good condition.

- c. In your opinion, does existing condition warrant repairs?  
If so, where and to what extent.  
In my opinion the existing condition does not warrant repairs.  
There is slight seepage through the rock masonry but this is not considered serious. All other aspects are in good condition.

- d. Photographs of the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment, main spillway and emergency spillway noting date taken.

Use additional sheets when necessary.

Inspected by Elson T. Killam Associates, Inc.

*Gifford R. Boyce*

Gifford R. Boyce  
Consulting Engineer

N.J. License No. 8476

Date: May 27, 1969



Downstream face of center dam  
(Emergency spillway at top of  
picture). 5/16/69




Downstream face of south  
side of dam. 5/16/69

ANNUAL REPORT - DAMS (Cont'd)



Downstream Face Of North Side Of Dam 5/16/69



Upstream Face Of South Side Of Dam (Emergency  
Spillway At Left Of Center) 5/16/69

ANNUAL REPORT - DAMS (Cont'd)



Looking Upstream Along Inlet Flume (Reservoir At Right) 5/16/69



Looking Upstream At Main Spillway 5/16/69

R E P O R T  
Upon  
INSPECTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
For  
REPAIR OF LOWER MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM  
HACKETTSTOWN, NEW JERSEY

June 29, 1964

ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.  
Hydraulic and Sanitary Engineers  
Millburn, New Jersey

**ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.**

HYDRAULIC & SANITARY ENGINEERS  
48 ESSEX STREET, MILLBURN, NEW JERSEY

DREXEL 9-3400

ELSON T. KILLAM  
PETER HONASEK  
ROBERT C. MOORE  
JOSEPH P. POLEY  
GIFFORD R. BOYSE

SEWERAGE WORKS  
WASTES DISPOSAL  
STORM DRAINAGE  
WATER SUPPLY  
WATER TREATMENT

June 29, 1964

Board of Water Commissioners  
of the Town of Hackettstown  
315 Washington Street  
Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840

Gentlemen:                      Subject: Inspection and Recommendations for  
Repair of Lower Mine Hill Reservoir Dam

This report is submitted to recap the events, inspections, and repair work connected with the resurfacing of the upstream face of the Lower Mine Hill Reservoir dam.

Repairs to the dam were felt necessary by the Board because of the observed leakage, particularly in the wintertime, of water through the dam structure. A contract was let by the Board to the McColl Guniting and Grouting Company to prepare the upstream surface of the dam by cleaning and raking out any cracks or poor joints and applying 2 inches of guniting over a steel mesh to the upstream face.

The existing surface course, consisting of a thin plastered or guniting covering, appeared from a visual observation in fairly sound condition, except for cracks along its surface, and it was anticipated that the above-mentioned repairs would adequately seal the upstream face from any further leakage.

The reservoir was drained on June 8, 1964, and after the contractor started the cleaning and preparatory work, the surface course, believed to be added to the dam in 1943-1944, was found to be loose and without proper adhesion to the dam proper. Upon removing this surface course, the contractor found the original mortar joints between the blocks of stone to be in very poor condition. Work was started at the top of the dam, and approximately one-quarter of the upstream surface was exposed when the contractor notified the Board of the conditions found and asked the Board for a re-evaluation of the scope or extent of repairs felt necessary. The contractor felt that because of actual conditions found, the possible extent of repairs might exceed the original intent or scope of his contract. At this time, June 11, 1964, the Board, through Mr. Lester E. Kelley, requested an inspection of the dam to be made to evaluate the conditions found, and to make whatever recommendations were necessary concerning the scope of repair work required to place the dam in a sound, watertight condition.

On Friday, June 12, 1964, Mr. Bartholomew of our office made a visual inspection of the dam proper, accompanied by Mr. G. Powers of the Board, and reviewed with the contractor work done to date.

The surface course of the upper half of the upstream face had been cleaned and the joints raked out at this time. The contractor reported that up to that time all of the surface course was

removed readily and many of the stone joints were in such condition that removal of the original mortar was easily accomplished by hand tools as deep as 3 to 4 feet.

It was felt by all parties that more extensive repairs were necessary than originally contemplated and it was our recommendation that a structural consultant be retained to review the structural condition of the dam and make their recommendations concerning necessary repairs.

With approval of the Board, the firm of Woodward-Clyde-Sherard and Associates was engaged, and a visit to the site was made on June 16, 1964, by Mr. David Greer, a principal of the above-mentioned firm, Mr. Frank Filippone, a structural engineer with our firm, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. McColl, the contractor doing the repair work, and Mr. G. Powers of the Board.

By this date, repairs had exposed most of the upstream face, and it was found that the lower half of the dam face was in much sounder condition than the upper half.

A visual inspection of the downstream face and the base of the dam revealed no visual unsound structural conditions. Mr. Greer's report is included as an appendix to this report and reviews in more detail the findings of those present at the site visit.

Additional recommended repairs and maintenance procedures not mentioned in Mr. Greer's report include the following:

(1) Carry 3-inch gunite face to top of dam slab surface and provide a water seal between the two surfaces with a 1" x 1" waterproof material such as Igas.

(2) Chip out and clean any surface cracks in the concrete top slabs of the dam and caulk with a waterproof seal.

(3) Wrap the intake silo with silo tie rods at the water surface where the brick work is loose and apply steel mesh and 2 inches of gunite to the entire outer surface.

(4) Maintenance procedures should include varying the water surface in the wintertime so as to prevent large thick ice formations and make prodigious use of wood beams to take up the expansion of whatever ice does form.

(5) Concerning leakage under the dam as mentioned in paragraph 10, page 4, of Mr. Greer's report, it is our opinion that any substantial leakage under the dam would be a major concern of the structural stability of the dam proper and observations should be continually made for this condition. Since no leakage under the dam has been observed by the Board members or operating personnel to date and no apparent leakage or evidence of this type leakage was observed during our inspections, it was felt that the extreme expense of grouting or sealing the surrounding ground and dam base below ground level (estimated at several times the cost of the present repairs) was not warranted at this time. Also, the expense of such work would be the same at any later date except for the necessity of draining the reservoir.

The recommendations set forth in Mr. Greer's report and those mentioned in our report were conveyed verbally to the Board through Mr. Kelley on the night of June 16, 1964.

In summation, it is felt that although the repair work recommended will substantially increase the cost originally contemplated by the Board for repairs to the dam, this work is felt required as a minimum in order to insure a substantial reduction in leakage. This report or any verbal reports to date by Mr. Greer or members of this firm are not meant to imply that the dam proper is structurally sound and stable as extensive testing and investigation would be necessary to determine this fact. However, from all visual observations and from the fact that the dam has been standing since its construction, believed to be in 1895, without any visual sign of movement, there is no reason to believe that the dam is unstable or subject to any serious movement. With the completion of the recommended repairs and the careful control of ice formations, the dam should be serviceable for many years and well worth the moneys spent for its upkeep and repair. It is felt that the dam is valuable and necessary to the water facilities, certainly worth keeping in good repair, and the Board is to be commended for taking this remedial action before more serious deterioration or damage develops.

Very truly yours,

ELSON T. KILLAM ASSOCIATES, INC.

*P. Homack*

Peter Homack

MEB:bw

APPENDIX

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

DENVER, COLORADO  
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

OMAHA, NEBRASKA  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

## WOODWARD-CLYDE-SHERARD AND ASSOCIATES

SOIL AND FOUNDATION ENGINEERING

1425 BROAD STREET  
CLIFTON, NEW JERSEY

TELEPHONE 471-2000

PRINCIPALS  
JAMES L. SHERARD  
DOUGLAS C. MOORHOUSE  
DAVID M. GREER

ASSOCIATE  
ROY E. HUNT

June 26, 1964  
64-155

Elson T. Killam Associates, Inc.  
48 Essex Street  
Millburn, New Jersey

Attention: Mr. Mel. E. Bartholomew

### Inspection of Masonry Dam Hackettstown Water Board

Gentlemen:

On Monday June 15 I was asked by Mr. Bartholomew of Elson T. Killam Associates, to examine a leaky masonry dam belonging to the Hackettstown, N.J. Water Board, which was then undergoing repairs by the McColl Gunitite and Grouting Company, Inc. My commission, as stated to me by Mr. Bartholomew, was to examine the condition of the structure and the reservoir, to review the plans for repairs, to discuss with representatives of Elson T. Killam Associates any changes in or additions to the plans which might be suggested by my observations or which might be proposed at the time of the inspection; and to present in writing my opinion with respect to the plans and my recommendations for changes or additions thereto. This report records my observations, opinions, and recommendations.

The dam, which is about 25 feet in height, is constructed of large, very roughly shaped, blocks of stone (apparently mostly granitic gneiss). The stone is laid roughly in courses and was probably set in lime-sand mortar. Construction date was reported to me as "about 1895". Repairs to the upstream and downstream faces were made in 1943 - 1944 (as shown by dates scribed in the mortar), the repairs consisting mostly of replacement of missing or softened mortar by new portland cement mortar.

At the time of my inspection, workmen were chipping out the unsound mortar on the upstream face. Most of the remaining exposed portland cement mortar (presumed to be from the 1943-44 repairs) was hard and sound; but there were some soft spots remaining; there were many areas where this mortar was missing entirely, and an older mortar (presumably the original construction) was exposed; there were several areas where this older mortar was missing, so that a steel rule could be thrust into the space between the stones to distances which were reported to be as much as 4 feet ( or between  $1/3$  and  $1/2$  the thickness of the dam at that point). In addition, there were numerous small holes through areas of sound portland cement mortar, evidently opening into mortarless spaces within the body of the dam.

The older mortar which was exposed was yellow in color, had the texture of a clayey or silty medium sand, and could be cut easily with a pen-knife in most places, and dug out with the fingers in many places.

The dam was reported to have been leaking badly, with several areas of concentrated flow from the downstream face. Although some specific flows were reported in the lower part, there was no report of under-seepage, or of water emerging from the stream bed downstream. It was stated that leakage in the upper part of the dam was especially conspicuous after ice had started to build up on the downstream face in the winter months, and the suggestion was made that the upper courses of stone were temporarily separated (or raised) by expansion of the internal ice lenses as they built up.

Examination of the concrete slab which forms the top surface of the dam showed a few cracks, at a right-angle to the axis of the dam, which were closed at the time of my inspection and which did not suggest that there had been much if any uplift of this slab due to ice action.

At the time of my inspection, the proposed repairs had progressed to the point where most of the unsound mortar exposed on the reservoir face of the dam had been chipped away by means of pneumatic hammers; and it was reported that the next step would be the cleaning of the exposed joints by jetting, preparatory to packing with mortar.

At my request, a test pit was dug by hand, at the base of the upstream face and about the center of the dam. The pit was entirely in a mass of rock chips in a matrix of clayey silt (sediment). Although the soil was saturated, and a trickle of water was flowing in a small surface channel two or three feet from the pit, there was almost no seepage into the pit, indicating that the soil at this point is relatively impervious. The mortar exposed by the pit appeared to be sound.

After some discussion, it was agreed that the Contractor would proceed with the repairs, with the following conditions:

1. Chipping and cleaning would proceed on the reservoir face of the dam as planned.
2. A trench, two to three feet deep, would be dug along the base of this face of the dam; and chipping and cleaning, as well as subsequent joint filling, guniting, etc., would be extended down into this trench.
3. Open pipes, for subsequent grout injection, would be set as far as possible into the open joints, and would be mortared into place. Spacing and size of these grout pipes would be left to the judgment of the Contractor.
4. Joints would be filled with portland cement mortar.
5. The gunite facing on the dam would be 3 inches thick, and would be reinforced by 3/8" bars on 18" centers, both horizontal and vertical.

6. The supporting pins for the reinforcing would be set (using lead) in holes drilled into sound rock, as nearly as practical on 3-foot centers. No pins would be supported in joint mortar, either old or new.
7. After the gunite facing had been completed, neat cement grout would be pumped into the dam through the grout pipes referred to in (3) above. The grouting pressure would be controlled carefully so as not to lift or move the stones comprising the dam; and grout injection would be stopped whenever grout began to emerge from the downstream face of the dam.
8. The trench referred to in (2) above would be backfilled, not with the stony soil that came out of it, but with mud from the reservoir bottom.
9. No pointing or guniting would be done on the downstream face of the dam.
10. As the reservoir is filled, careful observations would be made for possible leaks under the dam or through it below the mud line; and if such leaks should develop, the situation would be reviewed with a view to planning a foundation grouting program to be put into effect on the next occasion when the reservoir could be emptied.

These points represent my recollection of the steps agreed on in discussion between Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Powers, and myself. I concur in all of them.

It has been a pleasure to be of service to you and the Hackettstown Water Board.

Very truly yours,

*David M. Greer*  
David M. Greer, P.E.


DMG:esch

Submitted: 5 copies

Green Hill



10-19-63

Report






Rob  
Bubble



4-8" Hole











APPENDIX 5

HEC-1 OUTPUT SUMMARY

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM

10.....1  
9.....2  
8.....3  
7.....4  
6.....5  
5.....6  
4.....7  
3.....8  
2.....9  
1.....10

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS TOM CROCH AND CO  
NEW JERSEY DAP NO. 777 - MORRIS COUNTY - MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP  
0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 MULTIPLE OF PMF FROM 24-HOUR PMF  
300

2  
FLOW

AKK--AI MINE HILL RESERVOIR INFLOW HYDROGRAPH--  
INFLOW FROM SCS UNIT GRAPH COMPUTATIONS

RA	AF	DM	1	NG	113	123	132
1.3	3.3	2.2	5.3				
1.1	3.3	2.2	5.3				

KK-----A2 ROUTE INFLOW HYDROGRAPH THROUGH MINE HILL RESERVOIR-

[illegible][illegible]

11

10 RF	BASE FLOW CHARACTERISTICS	INITIAL FLOW
3.0 TO	5.50	BEGIN BASIC FLOW RECEPTION
4.0 TO	5.50	RECEPTION CONSTANT
5.0 TO	1.00000	

# PRECIPITATION DATA

11 PM - PROBABLE MAXIMUM STORM INDEX PRECIPITATION  
 TRFPC 22.30 TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT  
 TRSDA 0.00 TRANSMISSION AREA  
 TRSND 1.77 USE SNO DISTRIBUTION

PERCENT OF INDEX PRECIPITATION OCCURRING IN GIVEN TIME  
 6-HR 12-HR 24-HR 48-HR 72-HR 96-HR  
 113.0 123.0 132.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

12 LU UNIFORM LOSS RATE 1.00 INITIAL LOSS RATE  
 STRIL 0.10 UNIFORM LOSS RATE  
 RTIMP 0.0 PERCENT IMPERVIOUS AREA

13 UD SCS DIMENSIONLESS UNIT GRAPH LAG  
 LAG 0.37

\*\*\*

UNIT HYDROGRAPH COORDINATES  
 60 END-OF-PERIOD COORDINATES  
 21: 64: 120: 191: 278: 349: 520: 732: 798:  
 371: 544: 839: 810: 265: 349: 520: 732: 798:  
 98: 323: 282: 246: 218: 169: 127: 113:  
 28: 22: 20: 17: 15: 13: 11: 9: 8:  
 7: 6: 6: 5: 4: 3: 2: 1: 0:

## HYDROGRAPH AT STATION A1

DA	MON	HR	CRD	RAIN	LOSS	EXCESS	COMP	Q	ORD	RAIN	LOSS	EXCESS	COMP	Q
1	1	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	2	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	3	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	4	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	5	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	6	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	7	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	8	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	9	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	10	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	11	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	12	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	13	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	14	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	15	15	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	16	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	17	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	18	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	19	19	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	20	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	21	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	22	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	23	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	24	24	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	25	25	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	26	26	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	27	27	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	28	28	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	29	29	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:
1	1	30	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	123.5	123.5	0.17	0.0	0.0	0.0	382:



[illegible]

AD-A103 775


NEW JERSEY DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON --ETC F/G 13/13  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM, MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM (NJ00777),--ETC(U)  
AUG 81 W A GUINAN

DACW61-79-C-0011

UNCLASSIFIED

DAFN/NAP-53A42/NJ00777-R1/ NH

2 of 2  
AD-A103 775



.....

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840



HYDROGRAPH AT STATION  
PLAN 1, RATIO = 1.00

[illegible]



HYDROGRAPH AT STATION A2  
PLAN 1, RATIO = 0.50

CA	YLN	HRN	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE	DA	MON	HRN	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE	DA	MON	HRN	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE
1	000	000	1	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0825	102	18.	33.7	801.3	1	1	1645	201	347.	43.1	804.7
1	005	005	2	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0830	103	22.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1650	203	347.	43.1	804.7
1	010	010	3	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0835	104	29.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1655	205	347.	43.1	804.7
1	015	015	4	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0840	105	33.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1700	206	347.	43.1	804.7
1	020	020	5	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0845	107	37.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1705	207	347.	43.1	804.7
1	025	025	6	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0850	108	47.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1710	208	347.	43.1	804.7
1	030	030	7	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0855	109	56.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1715	209	347.	43.1	804.7
1	035	035	8	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0900	110	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1720	210	347.	43.1	804.7
1	040	040	9	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0905	111	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1725	211	347.	43.1	804.7
1	045	045	10	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0910	112	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1730	212	347.	43.1	804.7
1	050	050	11	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0915	113	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1735	213	347.	43.1	804.7
1	055	055	12	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0920	114	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1740	214	347.	43.1	804.7
1	060	060	13	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0925	115	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1745	215	347.	43.1	804.7
1	065	065	14	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0930	116	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1750	216	347.	43.1	804.7
1	070	070	15	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0935	117	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1755	217	347.	43.1	804.7
1	075	075	16	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0940	118	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1800	218	347.	43.1	804.7
1	080	080	17	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0945	119	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1805	219	347.	43.1	804.7
1	085	085	18	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0950	120	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1810	220	347.	43.1	804.7
1	090	090	19	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	0955	121	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1815	221	347.	43.1	804.7
1	095	095	20	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1000	122	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1820	222	347.	43.1	804.7
1	100	100	21	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1005	123	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1825	223	347.	43.1	804.7
1	105	105	22	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1010	124	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1830	224	347.	43.1	804.7
1	110	110	23	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1015	125	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1835	225	347.	43.1	804.7
1	115	115	24	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1020	126	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1840	226	347.	43.1	804.7
1	120	120	25	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1025	127	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1845	227	347.	43.1	804.7
1	125	125	26	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1030	128	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1850	228	347.	43.1	804.7
1	130	130	27	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1035	129	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1855	229	347.	43.1	804.7
1	135	135	28	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1040	130	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1900	230	347.	43.1	804.7
1	140	140	29	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1045	131	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1905	231	347.	43.1	804.7
1	145	145	30	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1050	132	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1910	232	347.	43.1	804.7
1	150	150	31	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1055	133	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1915	233	347.	43.1	804.7
1	155	155	32	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1100	134	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1920	234	347.	43.1	804.7
1	160	160	33	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1105	135	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1925	235	347.	43.1	804.7
1	165	165	34	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1110	136	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1930	236	347.	43.1	804.7
1	170	170	35	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1115	137	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1935	237	347.	43.1	804.7
1	175	175	36	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1120	138	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1940	238	347.	43.1	804.7
1	180	180	37	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1125	139	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1945	239	347.	43.1	804.7
1	185	185	38	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1130	140	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1950	240	347.	43.1	804.7
1	190	190	39	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1135	141	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	1955	241	347.	43.1	804.7
1	195	195	40	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1140	142	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2000	242	347.	43.1	804.7
1	200	200	41	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1145	143	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2005	243	347.	43.1	804.7
1	205	205	42	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1150	144	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2010	244	347.	43.1	804.7
1	210	210	43	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1155	145	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2015	245	347.	43.1	804.7
1	215	215	44	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1200	146	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2020	246	347.	43.1	804.7
1	220	220	45	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1205	147	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2025	247	347.	43.1	804.7
1	225	225	46	0	31.9	800.7	1	1	1210	147	59.	33.7	801.5	1	1	2030	247	347.	43.1	804.7





1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1

[illegible]

PEAK FLOW AND STAGE (END-OF-PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS  
 FLOWS IN CFS PER SECOND, AREA IN SQUARE MILES  
 TIME TO PEAK IN HOURS

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	FLOW TIME	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS			
					RATIO 1 0.10	RATIO 2 0.25	RATIO 3 0.50	RATIO 4 1.00
HYDROGRAPH AT	A1	1.77	1	710 16.50	1775 16.50	3549 16.50	7098 16.50	7098 16.50
	A2	1.77	1	707 16.50	1773 16.50	3548 16.50	7098 16.50	7098 16.50
ROUTED TO					** PEAK STAGES IN FEET **			
					1	802.77 16.50	804.80 16.50	806.38 16.50

SUMMARY OF DAM OVERTOPPING/BREACH ANALYSIS FOR STATION A2

PLAN-1.....									
ELEVATION		INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CREST		TOP OF DAM			
STORAGE		800.70		801.00		802.00			
OUTFLOW		32.0		33.9		35.46			
RATIO OF PHI	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM		MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT		MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS		DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	
		TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS		TIME OF FAILURE HOURS					
0.10	802.99	0.99	38.	707.	7.00	16.58	0.0		
0.50	803.77	1.77	43.	1773.	19.02	16.30	0.0		
1.00	804.80	2.80	48.	3588.	16.58	16.30	0.0		
	806.30	4.30		7098.					

\*\*\* NORMAL END OF JOB \*\*\*

HEC-1 INPUT

LINE 10.....1.....2.....3.....4.....5.....6.....7.....8.....9.....10

10 MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM BREACH ANALYSIS TOM COUCH ANCO  
10 NEW JERSEY DAM NO. 777 - MORRIS COUNTY - MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP  
10 1 2 1

2 KK A1 MINE HILL RESERVOIR INFLOW HYDROGRAPH 50.  
01 40. 40.

7 KK A2 ROUTE INFLOW HYDROGRAPH THROUGH PINE HILL RESERVOIR  
02 40. 40.

9	KK	A2	ROUTE INFLOW HYDROGRAPH THROUGH PINE HILL RESERVOIR	50.
10	RS	1	11.0	40.0
11	SV	0	31.9	35.0
12	SE	0	775.0	801.9
13	SE	0	775.0	801.9
14	ST	0	801.9	801.9
15	ST	0	801.9	801.9
16	ST	0	801.9	801.9

17 KK A3 ROUTE OUTFLOW HYDROGRAPH TO DAMAGE CENTER  
18 KD 2

19	RS	1	11.0	40.0
20	SV	0	31.9	35.0
21	SE	0	775.0	801.9
22	SE	0	775.0	801.9
23	ST	0	801.9	801.9

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
 \* THE HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER  
 \* 609 SECOND STREET  
 \* DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616  
 \* (916) 440-3285 UR (FTS) 448-3285  
 \* \*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HLC-1)  
 \* FEBRUARY 1981  
 \*  
 \* RUN DATE 06/24/81 TIME 16.56.12  
 \* \*\*\*\*\*

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM BREACH ANALYSIS TOM GOOCH ANCO  
 NEW JERSEY DAM NO. 777 MORRIS COUNTY MOUNT OLIVE TOWNSHIP

4 IO OUTPUT CONTROL VARIABLES PRINT CONTROL  
 IPLOT 1 PLOT GRAPH PLOT SCALE  
 CSCALE 0.0 HYDROGRAPH PLOT SCALE  
 YES PRINT DIAGNOSTIC MESSAGES

IT HYDROGRAPH TIME DATA 1 MINUTES IN COMPUTATION INTERVAL  
 NDATE 1 0000 STARTING DATE  
 NTIME 1 0139 ENDING DATE  
 NDATE 1 0139 ENDING DATE

COMPUTATION INTERVAL 0.02 HOURS  
 TOTAL TIME BASE 1.65 HOURS

ENGLISH UNITS AREA SQUARE MILES  
 DRAINAGE AREA INCHES  
 PRECIPITATION DEPTH FEET  
 LENGTH, ELEVATION FEET  
 STORAGE VOLUME CUBIC FEET PER SECOND  
 SURFACE AREA ACRES  
 TEMPERATURE DEGREES FAHRENHEIT

5 KK A1 MINE HILL RESERVOIR INFLOW HYDROGRAPH  
 \*\*\*\*\*

0 BA SUBBASIN CHARACTERISTICS SUBBASIN AREA  
 AREA 0.0

HYDROGRAPH AT STATION A1

[illegible]

CUMULATIVE AREA 0.0050 MI-

[illegible]

14 SS SPILLWAY CKREL 801.00 SPILLWAY CRIST ELEVATION  
 SPWID 12.00 SPILLWAY WIDTH  
 CCON 1.00 WEIR COEFFICIENT  
 EXPN 1.00 EXPOONENT OF HEAD

15 ST TOP OF DAM 802.00 ELEVATION AT TOP OF DAM  
 TUEL 45.00 DAM WIDTH  
 DAWID 0.00 WEIR COEFFICIENT  
 CCON 1.00 EXPOONENT OF HEAD

16 SB BREACH DATA 775.00 ELEVATION AT BOTTOM OF BREACH  
 FLOW 80.00 WIDTH OF BREACH BOTTOM  
 RMWID 0.00 BREACH SIDE SLOPE  
 TEALZ 0.00 TIME FOR BREACH TO DEVELOP  
 FAILEL 802.00 W.S. ELEVATION TO TRIGGER FAILURE

\*\*\*

STORAGE 0.0 31.90 35.00 35.30 44.00 50.00 58.50  
 OUTFLOW 0.0 0.0 37.00 46.00 715.00 2087.00 8798.00 18588.00

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 0.45 HOURS

HYDROGRAPH AT STATION A2

DA	MON	HR	MIN	ORD	STORAGE	STAGE	DA	MON	HR	MIN	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE
1	0001	1	00	1	35.1	801.9	1	0001	1	00	1	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0002	2	00	2	35.1	801.9	1	0002	2	00	2	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0003	3	00	3	35.1	801.9	1	0003	3	00	3	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0004	4	00	4	35.1	801.9	1	0004	4	00	4	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0005	5	00	5	35.1	801.9	1	0005	5	00	5	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0006	6	00	6	35.1	801.9	1	0006	6	00	6	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0007	7	00	7	35.1	801.9	1	0007	7	00	7	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0008	8	00	8	35.1	801.9	1	0008	8	00	8	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0009	9	00	9	35.1	801.9	1	0009	9	00	9	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0010	10	00	10	35.1	801.9	1	0010	10	00	10	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0011	11	00	11	35.1	801.9	1	0011	11	00	11	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0012	12	00	12	35.1	801.9	1	0012	12	00	12	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0013	13	00	13	35.1	801.9	1	0013	13	00	13	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0014	14	00	14	35.1	801.9	1	0014	14	00	14	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0015	15	00	15	35.1	801.9	1	0015	15	00	15	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0016	16	00	16	35.1	801.9	1	0016	16	00	16	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0017	17	00	17	35.1	801.9	1	0017	17	00	17	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0018	18	00	18	35.1	801.9	1	0018	18	00	18	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0019	19	00	19	35.1	801.9	1	0019	19	00	19	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0020	20	00	20	35.1	801.9	1	0020	20	00	20	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0021	21	00	21	35.1	801.9	1	0021	21	00	21	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0022	22	00	22	35.1	801.9	1	0022	22	00	22	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0023	23	00	23	35.1	801.9	1	0023	23	00	23	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0024	24	00	24	35.1	801.9	1	0024	24	00	24	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0025	25	00	25	35.1	801.9	1	0025	25	00	25	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0026	26	00	26	35.1	801.9	1	0026	26	00	26	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0027	27	00	27	35.1	801.9	1	0027	27	00	27	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0028	28	00	28	35.1	801.9	1	0028	28	00	28	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0029	29	00	29	35.1	801.9	1	0029	29	00	29	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0030	30	00	30	35.1	801.9	1	0030	30	00	30	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0031	31	00	31	35.1	801.9	1	0031	31	00	31	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0032	32	00	32	35.1	801.9	1	0032	32	00	32	50.	0.0	775.3
1	0033	33	00	33	35.1	801.9	1	0033	33	00	33	50.	0.0	775.3

[illegible]

\_\_\_\_\_

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice".

Year	Number of cases	Number of deaths	Number of cases per 100,000	Number of deaths per 100,000
1990	1,000	100	10.0	1.0
1991	1,100	110	11.0	1.1
1992	1,200	120	12.0	1.2
1993	1,300	130	13.0	1.3
1994	1,400	140	14.0	1.4
1995	1,500	150	15.0	1.5
1996	1,600	160	16.0	1.6
1997	1,700	170	17.0	1.7
1998	1,800	180	18.0	1.8
1999	1,900	190	19.0	1.9
2000	2,000	200	20.0	2.0
2001	2,100	210	21.0	2.1
2002	2,200	220	22.0	2.2
2003	2,300	230	23.0	2.3
2004	2,400	240	24.0	2.4
2005	2,500	250	25.0	2.5
2006	2,600	260	26.0	2.6
2007	2,700	270	27.0	2.7
2008	2,800	280	28.0	2.8
2009	2,900	290	29.0	2.9
2010	3,000	300	30.0	3.0
2011	3,100	310	31.0	3.1
2012	3,200	320	32.0	3.2
2013	3,300	330	33.0	3.3
2014	3,400	340	34.0	3.4
2015	3,500	350	35.0	3.5
2016	3,600	360	36.0	3.6
2017	3,700	370	37.0	3.7
2018	3,800	380	38.0	3.8
2019	3,900	390	39.0	3.9
2020	4,000	400	40.0	4.0

1. The first step in the process of creating a new product is to identify a market need. This involves conducting market research to understand what consumers want and what gaps exist in the current market. Once a need is identified, the next step is to develop a concept that addresses this need. This is often done through brainstorming sessions and the creation of a prototype. The third step is to conduct a feasibility study to determine if the concept is viable. This involves assessing the technical, financial, and market aspects of the idea. If the study is positive, the next step is to develop a business plan. This plan outlines the company's goals, strategies, and financial projections. Finally, the product is launched into the market, and the company monitors its performance and makes adjustments as needed.

[illegible]

...T SCALE

[illegible]

NUMBER OF SQUARES  
TYPE OF INITIAL CONDITION  
INITIAL CONDITION  
WORKING R AND D COEFFICIENT

CHANNEL FLOWING	
LEFT CHANNEL	OVERBANK N-VALUE
0.100	
RIGHT CHANNEL	N-VALUE
0.050	
0.100	
3400	REACH LENGTH
0.0500	ENERGY SLOPE
0.0	MAX. ELEV. FOR STORAGE/OUTFLOW CALCULATION

CROSS-SECTION DATA					
---	LEFT OVERBANK	---	MAIN CHANNEL	---	RIGHT OVERBANK
ELEVATION	610.00	602.00	600.00	602.00	610.00
DISTANCE	0.0	59.80	59.90	75.00	175.00
			60.00	75.10	475.00

[illegible]

# HYDROGRAPH AT STATION A3

DA	MON	HR	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE	DA	MON	HR	ORD	OUTFLOW	STORAGE	STAGE
0001	0001	0001	0001	40.0	0.7	600.6	0001	0001	0001	0001	40.0	0.7	600.6
0002	0002	0002	0002	40.0	0.7	600.6	0002	0002	0002	0002	40.0	0.7	600.6
0003	0003	0003	0003	40.0	0.7	600.6	0003	0003	0003	0003	40.0	0.7	600.6
0004	0004	0004	0004	40.0	0.7	600.6	0004	0004	0004	0004	40.0	0.7	600.6
0005	0005	0005	0005	40.0	0.7	600.6	0005	0005	0005	0005	40.0	0.7	600.6
0006	0006	0006	0006	40.0	0.7	600.6	0006	0006	0006	0006	40.0	0.7	600.6
0007	0007	0007	0007	40.0	0.7	600.6	0007	0007	0007	0007	40.0	0.7	600.6
0008	0008	0008	0008	40.0	0.7	600.6	0008	0008	0008	0008	40.0	0.7	600.6
0009	0009	0009	0009	40.0	0.7	600.6	0009	0009	0009	0009	40.0	0.7	600.6
0010	0010	0010	0010	40.0	0.7	600.6	0010	0010	0010	0010	40.0	0.7	600.6
0011	0011	0011	0011	40.0	0.7	600.6	0011	0011	0011	0011	40.0	0.7	600.6
0012	0012	0012	0012	40.0	0.7	600.6	0012	0012	0012	0012	40.0	0.7	600.6
0013	0013	0013	0013	40.0	0.7	600.6	0013	0013	0013	0013	40.0	0.7	600.6
0014	0014	0014	0014	40.0	0.7	600.6	0014	0014	0014	0014	40.0	0.7	600.6
0015	0015	0015	0015	40.0	0.7	600.6	0015	0015	0015	0015	40.0	0.7	600.6
0016	0016	0016	0016	40.0	0.7	600.6	0016	0016	0016	0016	40.0	0.7	600.6
0017	0017	0017	0017	40.0	0.7	600.6	0017	0017	0017	0017	40.0	0.7	600.6
0018	0018	0018	0018	40.0	0.7	600.6	0018	0018	0018	0018	40.0	0.7	600.6
0019	0019	0019	0019	40.0	0.7	600.6	0019	0019	0019	0019	40.0	0.7	600.6
0020	0020	0020	0020	40.0	0.7	600.6	0020	0020	0020	0020	40.0	0.7	600.6
0021	0021	0021	0021	40.0	0.7	600.6	0021	0021	0021	0021	40.0	0.7	600.6
0022	0022	0022	0022	40.0	0.7	600.6	0022	0022	0022	0022	40.0	0.7	600.6
0023	0023	0023	0023	40.0	0.7	600.6	0023	0023	0023	0023	40.0	0.7	600.6
0024	0024	0024	0024	40.0	0.7	600.6	0024	0024	0024	0024	40.0	0.7	600.6
0025	0025	0025	0025	40.0	0.7	600.6	0025	0025	0025	0025	40.0	0.7	600.6
0026	0026	0026	0026	40.0	0.7	600.6	0026	0026	0026	0026	40.0	0.7	600.6
0027	0027	0027	0027	40.0	0.7	600.6	0027	0027	0027	0027	40.0	0.7	600.6
0028	0028	0028	0028	40.0	0.7	600.6	0028	0028	0028	0028	40.0	0.7	600.6
0029	0029	0029	0029	40.0	0.7	600.6	0029	0029	0029	0029	40.0	0.7	600.6
0030	0030	0030	0030	40.0	0.7	600.6	0030	0030	0030	0030	40.0	0.7	600.6
0031	0031	0031	0031	40.0	0.7	600.6	0031	0031	0031	0031	40.0	0.7	600.6
0032	0032	0032	0032	40.0	0.7	600.6	0032	0032	0032	0032	40.0	0.7	600.6
0033	0033	0033	0033	40.0	0.7	600.6	0033	0033	0033	0033	40.0	0.7	600.6


PEAK FLOW (CFS) 2156.0  
 TIME (HR) 0.57  
 (CFS) 307.0  
 (INCHES) 0.000  
 (INCHES) 0.42

PEAK STORAGE (AC-FT) 23.0  
 TIME (HR) 0.57  
 (AC-FT) 3.0  
 (INCHES) 0.000  
 (INCHES) 0.42

PEAK STAGE (FEET) 601.44  
 TIME (HR) 0.57  
 (FEET) 601.44  
 (INCHES) 0.000  
 (INCHES) 0.42

CUMULATIVE AREA = 0.0 50 MI

STATION A3





WUJCEFF SUMMARY  
 FLOW IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND  
 TIME IN HOURS, AREA IN SQUARE MILES

OPERATION	STATION	PEAK FLOW	TIME OF PEAK	AVERAGE FLOW 6-HOUR	FLOW FOR MAXIMUM PERIOD 24-HOUR	72-HOUR	BASEIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
HYDROGRAPH AT	A1	50.	0.07	50.	50.	50.	0.0		
ROUTED TO	A2	5157.	0.53	308.	308.	308.	0.0	802.00	0.45
ROUTED TO	A3	2156.	0.57	307.	307.	307.	0.0	604.91	0.57

## 42

**PLAN--**

**FLYATTIN  
SUNFLOR**

INITIAL VALUE  
"01, 23  
35:  
40:

SPILLWAY CREST  
£61.00  
33.  
226.76.

TOP OF DAM  
R02.00  
35.  
34615.

RATIO OF	MAXIMUM RESERVE/ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX FLOW HOURS	TIME OF FALLING HOURS
1.00	802.00	0.00	35.	5168.	0.02	0.53	0.45

\*\*\* NORMAL END OF JOE \*\*\*

APPENDIX 6  
REFERENCES

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM.

APPENDIX 6  
REFERENCES

MINE HILL RESERVOIR DAM

Chow, Ven Te, Open Channel Hydraulics, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, 1959.

King, H.W. and E.F. Brater, Handbook of Hydraulics, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, Fifth Edition 1963.

Lewis, J.V. and H.B. Kummel (1910-1912) Geologic Map of New Jersey, revised by H.B. Kummel, 1931, and by M.E. Johnson, 1950. New Jersey Department of Conservation of Economic Development Atlas.

Salisbury, Kummel, H.B., Peet and Whitson, Glacial Drift Map of New Jersey, 1902.

Schway, G.O., R.K. Frevert, T.W. Edmister, and K.K. Barnes, Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, The Ferguson Foundation Agricultural Engineering Series, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966, 683 pp.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Users Manual, Davis, California, March 1981.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55, Washington, 1975.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, "Seasonal Variation of the Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1000 Square Miles and Durations of 6, 12, 24, and 48 Hours", Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, Washington, 1977, 816 pp.

United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Design of Small Dams, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1977, 816 pp.

U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey, 7.5-Minute Series (topographic) maps, scale 1:24000, Contour Interval 10 feet: Boundbrook, New Jersey, (1955), Photorevised 1970.

Viessman, Warren, Jr., J.W. Knapp, G.L. Lewis, T.E. Harbaugh, Introduction to Hydrology, Harper and Row, Publishers, New York, Second Edition 1977, 704 pp.



PART I - INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES  
(PURSUANT TO PUBLIC LAW 92-367)

See reverse side for instructions.

[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]

[9]

IDENTIFICATION	DIVISION		STATE	COUNTY				CONGR DIST	STATE	COUNTY				CONGR DIST	NAME																																			
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50							

[13]

IDENTIFICATION (Continued)	POPULAR NAME																																																
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50						

[15] [16]

[17]

LOCATION	REGION	BASIN	RIVER OR STREAM																																														CITY
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50						

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[26]

STATISTICS	TYPE OF DAM																	YEAR COMPLETED	PURPOSES																	STRUCTURAL HEIGHT (ft)	HYDRAULIC HEIGHT (ft)	IMPOUNDING CAPACITY													
																																						MAXIMUM (acre - ft.)													
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50								

[28]

REMARKS	REMARKS																																																	
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50							

ES

STATE		IDENTITY NUMBER				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
N	J	0	0	7	7	7

[9] [10] [11] [12]

NAME																				LATITUDE (North)			LONGITUDE (West)			REPORT DATE																				
																				° /			° /			DAY MO YR																				
2	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0																
R DAM																				40			50			50			74			48												0		

[14]

NAME OF IMPOUNDMENT																														
2	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0
MINE HILL RESERVOIR																														

[18] [19] [20]

NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY - TOWN - VILLAGE																				DIST. FROM DAM (mi)		POPULATION								
2	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0
CKETTSTOWN																				001		94722								

[26] [27] [27A] [27B] [27C] [27D] [27E] [27F]

IMPOUNDING CAPACITIES										CORPS ENGR. DIST.	OWN.	FED. R.	PRV/FED	SCS A.	VERIFICATION DATE			BLANK														
MAXIMUM (acre - ft.)					NORMAL (acre - ft.)										DA	MO	YR															
2	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0		
35																																3

[28]

REMARKS																														
2	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0



